To Mess with Mesh? An Update on Surgery for Pelvic Organ Prolapse

Carmel Ramage Bradford Teaching Hospitals



Discuss current surgery for prolapse
 Discuss new advances in surgery
 Discuss benefits and complications

Definition

Hernia of one or more pelvic organs (uterus, vaginal apex, bladder, rectur associated vaginal segment

Introduction

Genital prolapse is common

- Incidence: Up to 50% of parous women have some degree of pelvic organ prolapse (Samuelson 1999; Slieker 2004)
- 11.1% lifetime risk of surgery for prolapse or incontinence (Olsen 1997)

Aims of Surgery

Restore anatomy and function Good long term success rates Low complication rates Beneficial effect on quality of life > Technique easy to learn with reproducible results

> Minimally invasive



Vaginal hysterectomy
 Anterior colporrhaphy
 Posterior colporrhaphy

Sacrocolpopexy
 Sacrohysteropexy
 Sacro-spinous ligament fixation
 Mesh-augmented repair

Current surgical procedures have high failure rate

• 17.1 - 29.2% re-operation rate (Denman 2008; Olsen 1997)

But what fails and why?

Anterior Colporrhaphy

- First described by Kelly in 1913
- 30 43% objective recurrence (NICE 2008; Sand 2001)
- Re-operation rate 4 40% (Freeman 2010; Graves 1994)





Posterior Colporrhaphy

> Objective recurrence 20%



Apical recurrence

> Recurrence

- 11.6% if hysterectomy done for prolapse
- 1.8% if hysterectomy for other indications

(Marchionni 1999)





How to Improve Results of Surgery

Site-specific repair
 Experienced operator
 Other operations
 Graft

Site-specific repair

- Looking for and repairing defects in the fascial supports
- Selective repair' rather than 'one size fits all' approach
- Still debate about the ease of identification of these defects and results of site-specific surgery

Experienced operator

- Generalist v Specialist
- > ?numbers of procedures required to maintain skills
- Anatomical v functional result

Other operations – Sacrocolpopexy

- Synthetic mesh used to support vaginal vault.
- > Abdominal / laparoscopic
- Success rate
- > Risk of mesh erosion/ infection



Other operations – Sacrospinous fixation

Vaginal procedure
 Vault sutured to sacros
 Success rate
 Risk of damage to pud nerve



Sacrocolpopexy versus SSF

Sacrocolpopexy associated with less:

- Recurrent vault prolapse (5% v 15%)
- Further surgery (13% v 26%)
- Dyspareunia
- Post op SUI
- Longer operating time
- Longer recovery time
- Higher cost

How to Improve Results of Surgery

Site-specific repair
 Experienced operator
 Other operations
 Graft

Why use mesh?

Provide additional support
Procedures easy to learn
Lower recurrence rates

Anterior repair 14% v 30%
Posterior repair 14% v 20% n.s.

Why avoid mesh?

- Limited evidence that long term outcomes improve
- Complications potentially greater than with traditional surgery
- > Very industry driven

Mesh – the story so far



Increasing numbers of women having mesh inserted for USI > TVT most common procedure for USI world-wide > Will mesh for prolapse surgery follow suit?

Uses of graft materials

 Very small volume in mid-urethral tapes
 Small volume in anterior and posterior repair - ?in primary or secondary surgery
 Large volume in prolapse repair 'kits' – aim to support and suspend the prolapse

Graft Materials

- > Autologous tissue
- > Allograft, xenograft
- Naturally-derived mesh e.g pelvicol, SIS
- Synthetic non-absorbable mesh e.g. Gynemesh PS

Non-synthetic Mesh

Indications
Success rates
Absorbable!



Pelvicol"





PelviSoft" ACELLULAR COLLAGEN BIOMESH

Synthetic Mesh - Materials

- > Polypropylene
 - Prolene, Gynemesh, Surgipro, IVS
- > Polyester
 - Mersilene
- > PTFE
 - Goretex
- > Polyamide
 - Nylon

- Monofilament
 - Prolene,
 - Gynemesh/PS
- > Multifilament
 - Surgipro
 - IVS
 - Mersilene

Synthetic Mesh



IVS



Goretex



Gynemesh



Mersilene

Requirements of a Synthetic Mesh

- Resist infection
- Incorporate into surrounding tissue
- > Histologically well tolerated
- > Minimal shrinkage
- > Pliability

Volume of mesh





Total Vaginal Mesh Repair



Problems with mesh

Surgical complications
Infection
Erosion
Shrinkage
Dyspareunia

Surgical Complications







Infection







Erosion

	Autologous material (1715 pts)	Synthetic material (1515 pts)	Homologous materials (414 pts)
Vaginal erosion	1 (0.001)	10 (0.007)	0
Urethral erosion	5 (0.003)	27 (0.02)	0
Fistula	6 (0.003)	4 (0.002)	0
Wound infection	1 (0.006)	15 (0.009)	9 (0.02)

Vaginal Erosion



Vaginal Erosion



Vaginal Erosion



Fistula





Shrinkage

- Shrinkage could
 - damage result
 - lead to complications

Minimise shrinkage by reducing inflammatory reaction



> Type of mesh – natural / synthetic
> Thickness of mesh
> Amount of mesh
> Shrinkage
> Erosion

Pliability

- In order to preserve sexual function mesh must
 - be soft to preserve vaginal suppleness
 - have smooth edges to avoid irritating spikes

NICE?

Some benefit for anterior prolapse Minimal benefit for posterior prolapse Significant problems with erosion infection visceral damage dyspareunia > Clinical Governance

Summary

Existing operations are unsatisfactory
 New advances are as yet unproven with virtually no data on efficacy



"Nurse, get on the internet, go to SURGERY.COM, scroll down and click on the 'Are you totally lost?' icon."