# GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT

# Acupuncture for pregnancy-related low back pain and pelvic girdle pain



# Introduction

This statement is based on a synthesis of the best available current evidence. It will be subject to periodic review as the evidence base evolves. It should be noted that the statement offers guidance, and should not be regarded as prescriptive; such general advice will always require to be modified in line with the needs of any individual patient and the clinician's experience.

All acupuncture should be performed according to the guidelines of the British Acupuncture Council, the British Medical Acupuncture Society and the Acupuncture Association of Chartered Physiotherapists (AACP) (www.acupuncturesafety. org.uk).

# Background

The incidence of pregnancy-related low back pain (LBP) and pelvic girdle pain (PPGP) is reported to be approximately 20% (Wu *et al.* 2004; Kovacs *et al.* 2012; Malmqvist *et al.* 2012; Pennick & Liddle 2013). It is attributed to multifactorial changes in posture, hormones, joint laxity, muscle imbalance, asymmetrical mechanical dysfunction of the pelvis and the growing baby *in utero*.

In the general population, acupuncture has been shown to reduce pain levels and improve physical function in adults with LBP (Witt *et al.* 2006; Haake *et al.* 2007; Cherkin *et al.* 2009; Mayer *et al.* 2010). However, this cannot be extrapolated to pregnancy-related pain without consideration of the safety of this modality in this population.

#### Safety of acupuncture in pregnancy

The AACP guidelines for safe practice (AACP 2012) state that there is a danger of miscarriage when treating patients in the first trimester of pregnancy. This has not been reported in supplementary literature, and may be considered to be based on historical practice (Betts & Budd 2011) rather than evidence (Carr 2015). 74 The AACP (2012) defines the forbidden points as Large Intestine (LI) 4, Spleen (SP) 6, and Bladder (BL) 60 and 67 because of the risk of uterine contractions (Betts & Budd 2011; Cummings 2011) since these points are used in traditional Chinese medicine to facilitate induction and turning breech babies. Furthermore, BL31, BL32, BL33 and BL34 (the sacral foramina) and abdominal points are to be specifically avoided because these may compromise circulation to the developing foetus (Betts & Budd 2011), or potentially approximate the uterus if the needle enters the foramen.

Cummings (2011) theorized that acupuncture is safe to use in pregnancy, and that forbidden points can be employed. Elden et al. (2005, 2008) found that forbidden points (i.e. LI4, BL32, BL33 and BL60) have not been found to cause serious adverse events, and no significant harmful effects were reported several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (Wedenberg et al. 2000; Guerreiro da Silva et al. 2004; Kvorning et al. 2004). Carr (2015) stated that objective examination of the scientific literature does not reveal any suggestion of harm following needling at "forbidden" points during pregnancy, despite historical or theoretical concerns. However, it must be noted that the majority of this evidence is collated from research on generally healthy pregnancies. The efficacy and safety of acupuncture in pregnancies complicated by specific obstetric conditions has yet to be determined.

Langshaw (2011) identified some studies that have reported powerful recordable uterine contractions after strong acupuncture at LI4 and SP6 that never caused early delivery, but could cause patient distress. Bishop *et al.* (2016) reported some minor non-obstetric adverse effects during acupuncture treatment in pregnancy, including: light-headedness; fainting; mild bruising at the needle site; worsening of symptoms; vomiting; and pain at the needle site. All of the above might be found in acupuncture of the general population. Similarly, two recent systematic reviews highlighted a low incidence of adverse

© 2017 Pelvic, Obstetric and Gynaecological Physiotherapy

events that were non-obstetric in nature (Park *et al.* 2014; Clarkson *et al.* 2015). In a systematic review, Clarkson *et al.* (2015) found that there was a 14–17% chance of being affected by an adverse event in the pregnancy acupuncture groups, as compared to one of 15–19% in the non-acupuncture intervention groups. Romer *et al.* (2013) demonstrated that there was no difference in the occurrence adverse events between an acupuncture and a control group.

Carr (2015, p. 418) advised clinicians "to treat only where necessary", and carry out a thorough examination and risk assessment with individual patients. It must be remembered that the optimal dose for any intervention is the minimum required to be effective. There is a suggestion that, the greater the amount of needle stimulation applied, the greater the incidence of adverse events, although these remain mild to moderate (Wedenberg *et al.* 2000; Ternov *et al.* 2001; Kvorning *et al.* 2004; Elden *et al.* 2008). Auricular acupuncture resulted in the least number of adverse events (Wang *et al.* 2009).

#### Efficacy of acupuncture in pregnancyrelated low back pain and pelvic girdle pain

In a Cochrane Review, Pennick & Liddle (2013) identified interventions for preventing and treating pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP. These authors found moderate-quality evidence for the efficacy of acupuncture in the treatment of PPGP, which significantly reduced evening pain and improved function, especially after 26 weeks, in comparison to usual care or exercise. In a review of eight systematic reviews and nine RCTs, Selva Olid et al. (2013) reiterated that there is moderate evidence for acupuncture in pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP, and a low incidence of adverse events. Research often focuses on pain indicators, but other benefits that have been noted are increased psychological well-being (Guerreiro da Silva et al. 2004), and improved mobility and sleep (Ekdahl & Petersson 2010; Gutke et al. 2015).

Three RCTs differentiated pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP as part of the inclusion criteria (Elden *et al.* 2005, 2008; Lund *et al.* 2006), and all identified significant benefits as a result of using acupuncture. Six other studies researched women with both pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP, but did not stratify the women by diagnosis before randomization or during the analysis (Wedenberg *et al.* 2000; Ternov *et al.* 2001; Guerreiro da Silva *et al.* 2004; Kvorning *et al.* 

2004; Wang *et al.* 2009; Ekdahl & Petersson 2010). This prevents a definitive statement being made regarding the response of individual conditions to acupuncture. However, each study showed positive benefits of acupuncture treatment in the sample combining pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP.

A recent systematic review by Gutke et al. (2015) found strong evidence for the use of acupuncture in pregnancy-related LBP. Foster et al. (2015) ran a pilot trial comparing acupuncture, non-penetrating acupuncture and standard care in pregnancy-related LBP. They found reductions in pain and disability in the two acupuncture arms of the trial that were significantly greater than those in the standard care group. Non-penetrating acupuncture or "sham" acupuncture may show benefits because of the effects of acupressure, contact with a therapist or a potential placebo effect. Elden et al. (2008) reported that sham acupuncture also provided positive benefits and that needling may not be necessary, but regular contact with a health professional may be just as beneficial.

The evidence supporting the use of acupuncture in the management of LBP and PPGP in pregnancy does seem to be encouraging, and there is an emphasis on individual patient examination and risk assessment in each case.

# **Good practice points**

The AACP (2012) defines the traditional forbidden points as LI4, SP6, BL60 and BL67, which should be used with caution given that these are historically contraindicated in pregnancy. The employment of traditionally forbidden points without any significant adverse outcomes was noted in all RCTs reviewed that had assessed for this outcome in pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP (Wedenberg *et al.* 2000; Kvorning *et al.* 2004; Elden *et al.* 2005, 2008; Lund *et al.* 2006). Bladder 31, BL32, BL33 and BL34 (the sacral foramina), abdominal points, the wall of the uterus, and strongly stimulating De Qi should be avoided.

A reduction in visual analogue scale pain scores has been seen in two, 30-min sessions (Ternov *et al.* 2001). Good outcomes with regard pain and functional ability have been found with an average of eight to 12 sessions on at least a weekly basis, and using at least 10 needles for 25–30 min (Wedenberg *et al.* 2000; Guerreiro da Silva *et al.* 2004; Kvorning *et al.* 2004; Elden *et al.* 2005, 2008; Lund *et al.* 2006; Ekdahl & Petersson 2010). Increasing the amount of stimulation and the depth of needling did not appear to have a significant positive impact on the efficacy of the acupuncture; however, this did increase the number of mild to moderate adverse events.

# Conclusions

Bishop et al. (2016) reported a lower use of acupuncture by physiotherapists for the treatment of pregnant patients than for those with general musculoskeletal pain conditions. They suggested that this may indicate a lack of confidence, concerns about safety and a lack of specific guidelines for physiotherapists about the use of acupuncture in pregnancy. The evidence for the safety of acupuncture in pregnancy, including the use of traditional forbidden points, has increased (Romer et al. 2013; Park et al. 2014; Carr 2015; Clarkson et al. 2015), and should support it as an option in the treatment of PPGP (Pennick & Liddle 2013; Wild 2014) and pregnancy-related LBP (Gutke et al. 2015). Current evidence lacks the validity and reliability that is required to establish specific guidelines on precise treatment parameters, mainly because of a lack of comparability between the interventions used in RCTs. Overall, acupuncture for pregnancy-related LBP and PPGP is recommended since it can be a safe and efficacious treatment modality for reducing pain scores (Vleeming et al. 2008), improving sleep and mobility (Ekdahl & Petersson 2010), and increasing functional capacity (Guerreiro da Silva et al. 2004). It can also be combined effectively with other physiotherapy interventions.

The following recommendations are made:

- Following a thorough examination and risk assessment, acupuncture is a safe treatment modality for LBP and PPGP in uncomplicated pregnancies.
- Avoid abdominal points, or any approximation of the uterine wall and sacral foramina (BL31, BL32, BL33 and BL34).
- Avoid very strong stimulation of acupuncture points in pregnancy.
- Caution may be exercised in using traditional "forbidden points", i.e. LI4, SP6, BL60 and BL67.
- Treatment parameters, such as frequency, duration, and the number of points used, may depend on individual patient assessment and local practice restrictions.
- Monitor for any adverse events, and record any such issues in your treatment documentation.

Pelvic, Obstetric and Gynaecological Physiotherapy

# References

- Acupuncture Association of Chartered Physiotherapists (AACP) (2012) *Guidelines for Safe Practice*. [WWW document.] URL http://www.aacp.org.uk/ member-home/references/guidelines/clinical/ 239-guidelines-for-safe-practice/file
- Betts D. & Budd S. (2011) "Forbidden points" in pregnancy: historical wisdom? *Acupuncture in Medicine* **29** (2), 137–139.
- Bishop A., Holden M. A., Ogollah R. O. & Forster N. E. (2016) Current management of pregnancy-related low back pain: a national cross-sectional survey of UK physiotherapists. *Physiotherapy* **102** (1), 78–85.
- Carr D. J. (2015) The safety of obstetric acupuncture: forbidden points revisited. *Acupuncture in Medicine* **33** (5), 413–419.
- Cherkin D. C., Sherman K. J., Avins A. L., *et al.* (2009) A randomized trial comparing acupuncture, simulated acupuncture, and usual care for chronic low back pain. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **169** (9), 858–866.
- Clarkson C. E., O'Mahony D. & Jones D. E. (2015) Adverse event reporting in studies of penetrating acupuncture during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* **94** (5), 453–464.
- Cummings M. (2011) "Forbidden points" in pregnancy: no plausible mechanism for risk. *Acupuncture in Medicine* **29** (2), 140–142.
- Ekdahl L. & Petersson K. (2010) Acupuncture treatment of pregnant women with low back and pelvic pain – an intervention study. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* **24** (1), 175–182.
- Elden H., Ladfors L., Olsen M. F., Ostgaard H.-C. & Hagberg H. (2005) Effects of acupuncture and stabilising exercises as adjunct to standard treatment in pregnant women with pelvic girdle pain: randomised single blind controlled trial. *BMJ* **330** (7494), 761.
- Elden H., Fagevik-Olsen M., Ostgaard H.-C., Stener-Victorin E. & Hagberg H. (2008) Acupuncture as an adjunct to standard treatment for pelvic girdle pain in pregnant women: randomised double-blinded controlled trial comparing acupuncture with nonpenetrating sham acupuncture. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* **115** (13), 1655–1668.
- Foster N. E., Bishop A., Bartlam B., *et al.* (2015) Evaluating Acupuncture and Standard carE for pregnant women with Back pain (EASE Back): a feasibility study and pilot randomised trial. *Health Technology Assessment* **20** (3), 1–236.
- Guerreiro da Silva J. B., Nakamura M. U., Cordeiro J. A. & Kulay L., Jr (2004) Acupuncture for low back pain in pregnancy a prospective, quasi-randomised, controlled study. *Acupuncture in Medicine* **22** (2), 60–67.
- Gutke A., Betten C., Degerskär K., Pousette S. & Olsén M. F. (2015) Treatments for pregnancy-related lumbopelvic pain: a systematic review of physiotherapy modalities. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* **94** (11), 1156–1167.
- Haake M., Müller H.-H., Schade-Brittinger C., et al. (2007) German Acupuncture Trials (GERAC) for chronic low back pain: randomized, multicenter, blinded, parallelgroup trial with 3 groups. Archives of Internal Medicine 167 (17), 1892–1898.

© 2017 Pelvic, Obstetric and Gynaecological Physiotherapy

- Kovacs F. M., Garcia E., Royuela A., González L. & Abraira V. (2012) Prevalence and factors associated with low back pain and pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy: a multicentre study conducted in the Spanish National Health Service. *Spine* 37 (17), 1516–1533.
- Kvorning N., Holmberg C., Grennert L., Aberg A. & Akeson J. (2004) Acupuncture relieves pelvic and low-back pain in late pregnancy. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 83 (3), 246–250.
- Langshaw W. (2011) Acupuncture and its use in the management of low back and pelvic girdle pain in pregnancy. *Journal of the Association of Chartered Physiotherapists in Women's Health* **108** (Spring), 24–34.
- Lund I., Lundeberg T., Lönneberg L. & Svensson E. (2006) Decrease of pregnant women's pelvic pain after acupuncture: a randomized controlled single-blind study. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* **85** (1), 12–19.
- Malmqvist S., Kjaermann I., Andersen K., *et al.* (2012) Prevalence of low back and pelvic pain during pregnancy in a Norwegian population. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics* **35** (4), 272–278.
- Mayer J. M., Haldeman S., Tricco A. C. & Dagenais S. (2010) Management of chronic low back pain in active individuals. *Current Sports Medicine Reports* 9 (1), 60–66.
- Park J., Sohn Y., White A. R. & Lee H. (2014) The safety of acupuncture in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Acupuncture in Medicine* **32** (3), 257–266.
- Pennick V. & Liddle S. D. (2013) Interventions for preventing and treating pelvic and back pain in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD001139. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001139. pub3.
- Römer A., Zieger W. & Melchert F. (2013) Verbotene Akupunkturpunkte in der Schwangerschaft – überholte Tradition oder beachtenswerter Existenznachweis?:
  Ergebnisse der retrospektiven Studie der Universitätsfrauenklinik Mannheim. [Prohibition of acupuncture points during pregnancy – an outdated tradition

or objective evidence?: Results of a retrospective study from Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Mannheim.] *Deutsche Zeitschrift für Akupunktur* **56** (3), 10–13. [In German.]

- Selva Olid A., Martínez-Zapata M. J., Solà I., *et al.* (2013) Efficacy and safety of needle acupuncture for treating gynecologic and obstetric disorders: an overview. *Medical Acupuncture* **25** (6), 386–397.
- Ternov N. K., Grennert L., Åberg A., Algotsson L. & Åkeson J. (2001) Acupuncture for lower back and pelvic pain in late pregnancy: a retrospective report on 167 consecutive cases. *Pain Medicine* 2 (3), 204–207.
- Vleeming A., Albert H. B., Östgaard H. C., Sturesson B. & Stuge B. (2008) European guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pelvic girdle pain. *European Spine Journal* 17 (6), 794–819.
- Wang S.-M., DeZinno P., Lin E. C., *et al.* (2009) Auricular acupuncture as a treatment for pregnant women who have low back and posterior pelvic pain: a pilot study. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **201** (3), 271.e1–271.e9.
- Wedenberg K. A. J., Moen B. & Norling Å. (2000) A prospective randomized study comparing acupuncture with physiotherapy for low-back and pelvic pain in pregnancy. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* **79** (5), 331–335.
- Wild E. (2014) Use of acupuncture as an adjunct tool in the management of pelvic girdle pain in pregnancy. *Journal of the Acupuncture Association of Chartered Physiotherapists* **2014** (Spring), 83–92.
- Witt C. M., Jena S., Selim D., *et al.* (2006) Pragmatic randomized trial evaluating the clinical and economic effectiveness of acupuncture in chronic low back pain. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **164** (5), 487–496.
- Wu W. H., Meijer O. G., Uegaki K., *et al.* (2004) Pregnancy-related pelvic girdle pain (PPP), I: Terminology, clinical presentation, and prevalence. *European Spine Journal* **13** (7), 575–589.